



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	•
10/633,807	08/04/2003	Ervin Wagner	8894.01-1	7226	•
7590 02/09/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER '	
	Robert L. Judd			CHIU, RALEIGH W	
Taglia, Fette, Dumke & White P.C. 720 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
St. Joseph, MI			3711		
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/200	DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/633,807	WAGNER, ERVIN			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·		Raleigh Chiu	3711			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 November 2004.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application).				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-50</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	D) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachmen	• •					
·	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	·			
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/633,807

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I (claims 1-20) in the reply filed on 18 November 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 21-50 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 18 November 2004.
- 3. Upon reconsideration, it is noted that the remaining claims are further restrictable. It is regretted that the applicability of this restriction to the claims was not earlier realized.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - a. Figures 1-15 (tennis);
 - b. Figure 16 (soccer);
 - c. Figure 17 (ice hockey);
 - d. Figure 18 (volleyball).

Application/Control Number: 10/633,807

Art Unit: 3711

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPER \$ 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the

Art Unit: 3711

inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raleigh Chiu whose telephone number is (571) 272-4408. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich, can be reached on (571) 272-4415.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,807 Page 5

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ràleigh W. Chiu Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3700

RWC:dei:feif
3 February 2005